APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 16 July 2018
B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Barin Quarry, SAS-2018-00256
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State:Georgia County/parish/borough: Musogee County City: Columbus Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.584139° N, Long84.940108° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM 16 Name of nearest waterbody: Heiferhorn Creek
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Chattahoochee River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): HUC 12: Heiferhorn Creek: 031300021304 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): April 24, 2018
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A.	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the fiew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	 Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs. including territorial seas

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: 3,730 linear feet: 2-10 width (ft) and/or Wetlands: 0.56 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):N/A.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: . Wetlands WAA and WCD (approximately 2.84 acres) and streams SMI, SMJ, SMK, SMN, SMO, SMQ, SMP, SMR, SMS, and SMW (approximately 3,357 linear feet) are isolated from any traditional navigable waters or relatively permanent waters (Hieferhorn Creek). Also See Section F.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: n/a.

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": n/a.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 22 square miles Drainage area: 440 acres Average annual rainfall: 48 inches

Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Wetland WCA is abutting to SMA (a RPW). SMA flows west to Heiferhorn Creek (RPW), which flows directly into Chattahoochee River (TNW).

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Inbutary stream of	order, if known: SMA is a second or	der stream; SMD is a first order stream; SMX is a second order
stream.			
(b)	General Tributary	Characteristics (check all that apply	z):
(-)	Tributary is:	⊠ Natural	E
		Artificial (man-made). Explai	n:
		Manipulated (man-altered). I	Explain: Streams SMA and SMD are natural. Stream SMX has been
distrubed with	nin an existing pow	er easement (i.e. clearing, channeliz	ation).
	Tulbutana	tion with associated to a of book (acti	mats).
	Average wid	ties with respect to top of bank (esti-	mate):
	Average dep		
	Average side		
		substrate composition (check all tha	
	Silts	Sands	Concrete
	Cobbles	Gravel	Muck
		☐ Vegetation. Type/%	cover:
	_ Ouler. Ex	piani.	
	Tributary condition	on/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slo	ughing banks]. Explain: Moderately Eroding, very high banks.
			erate riffle-run-pool sequences observed in streams.
	Tributary geometr	ry: Relatively straight	
	Tributary gradient	t (approximate average slope): 1-2 %	6
7.5	77		
(c)	Flow:	f C	
		s for: Seasonal flow number of flow events in review are	altream 20 (on greater)
			eral stream and transitions into an intermittent stream. SMD is an
enhemeral str		nces with SMA, a perennial stream.	erai sucam and transitions into an intermittent sucam. Sivid is an
-P		on duration and volume: N/A.	
	Surface flow is: D	Discrete. Characteristics: .	
	61 6 6		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Yes. Explain findings: Subsurface f other) test performed:	low was observed within the perennial stream (SMA).
	□ Dye (of o	ther) test performed.	
	Tributary has (che	eck all that apply):	
	⊠ Bed and l		
	OHWM6	(check all indicators that apply):	
		, natural line impressed on the bank	the presence of litter and debris
		ges in the character of soil	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
			the presence of wrack line
		tation matted down, bent, or absent	
		itter disturbed or washed away	scour
		nent deposition r staining	multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
	The second secon	(list):	abrupt change in plant community
		nuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	
	If factors other tha	an the OHWM were used to determi	ne lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	High Tie	de Line indicated by:	Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
		r scum line along shore objects	survey to available datum;
		shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	physical markings;
		ical markings/characteristics	vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
	The state of the s	gauges	
	other	(list):	
(iii) Ch	emical Characteris	sties:	
			, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
230			osits (oily film) was observed within the perennial stream (SMA).

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: N/A.

	(iv)	Biol	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
buf		\boxtimes	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 85' forested on both sides of SMA; SMX has over 100' forested sides; SMD has over a 100' scrub shrub buffer on both sides.
			Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Typical wetland hydric soils meeting uplnd non-hydric soil causing vegetative transition
zon	e		
		\boxtimes	Habitat for:
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: These tributaries provide habitat for amphibians, reptiles,
and insec	ets S	MD :	and SMA provide an ecological corridor between wetland WCA and Heiferhorn Creek. SMX provides an ecological
			VCB and downstream tributaries off the property before entering Heiferhorn Creek.
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	Phy	sical Characteristics:
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics:
			Properties:
			Wetland size: WCB is 0.08-acres and WCA is 0.48 acres
			Wetland type. Explain: (PFO) Palustrine Forested Wetlands.
ha f	imati	onine	Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland is of moderate quality. There are no pollutants observed and wetland appears to a random and a moderate level (based on Savannah District qualatative mitigation worksheets).
be i	uncu	omng	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
			Troject westalids cross of serve as state obtainaires. Explain. 1971.
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
		. ,	Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain:
			Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow
			Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Yes. Explain findings: WCB and WCA are within the perched groundwater table that also supports the
adja	ecent	non-	TNW (SMX and SMA).
			Dye (or other) test performed: Soil pits revealed high water table.
		25	
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
			☐ Not directly abutting
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
			Ecological connection. Explain:
			Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
			Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
			Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
	(11)		racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
		CIII	characteristics; etc.). Explain: Presense of reduced iron.
		Ider	tify specific pollutants, if known: N/A.
	(iii) Bio	ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
		\boxtimes	Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width) forested 50-100' on both sides.
			Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Forested wetland vegetation with herbaceous vegetation understory.
		Ш	Habitat for:
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
			☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			☐ Other environmentarry-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: WCA and WCB provide habitat for amphibians, reptiles,
and inse	ets. V	VCA :	provides an ecological connection and corrior between SMD, SMA, and Heiferhorn Creek. WCB provides an ecological
			rior to SMX before it leaves the project area through downstream tributaries which discharge into Heiferhorn Creek.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2
Approximately (0.56) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y	0.48 (Wetland WCA)		
Y	0.08 (Wetland WCB)		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetlands are within the watershed associated with Heiferhorn Creek. The wetlands naturally receive drainage (overland and shallow subsurface flow) during rain events and high water tables, which are directly connected to the abutting tributaries of SMX and SMA. All biological, chemical, and physical functions described above are easily identifiable and represented throughout the entire reach of the tributaries and abutting wetlands and are considered to be significant to the downstream TNW.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
 other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:N/A.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: SMD and SMX are considered non-RPW's. WCB abuts the non-RPW. The significant nexus findings for SMD and SMX and its adjacent wetland, WCB are: 1.) the capability to carry pollutants and flood waters to downstream TNWs, 2.) the cabability to reduce the amounts of pollutants and flood waters to downstream TNW, 3.) provides for habitat and lifecycle support for fish and other species during all phases and life cycles, 4.) wetland WCB has capability to transfer nutrients and organic carbon, and 5.) are all physically, chemially, and biolgically connected to other tributaries that are integrally related to the downstream TNW.
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of
 presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to
 Section III.D: N/A.

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.		Check all that apply	and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or.	acres.

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is personal: North Carolina Stream Forms support presence of perennial flow for the RPW (SMA).
☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 2945 linear feet 2-10 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 835 linear feet 2-10width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres.
Identify type(s) of waters:
Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: WCA was observed in the field to be directly abutting SMA, a RPW. Surface and subsurface flows were shared between WCA and SMA (observed within 18" soil pits).
Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: WCB is directly abutting a tributary that typically flows seasonally, SMX. WCB was observed in the field to be directly abutting SMX and have shared surface and subsurface flows with WCB (observed within 18" soil pits).
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.56 acres.
Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
	Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: 3730linear feet width (ft). ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. ☐ Identify type(s) of waters: ☐ Wetlands:0.56 acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 3357 linear feet 2-10 width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 2.84 acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: See attached figures 1-11. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:9600 Forston Quadrangle. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: USDA NRCS, 09/09/2016, soil_ga215. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:USFWS, Branch of Habitat Assessment, 09/24/2012.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
\boxtimes	FEMA/FIRM maps: (Panel 0135158).
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: 🛮 Aerial (Name & Date): USDA FSA; NAIP2015. 9/15/2015.
	or 🛮 Other (Name & Date):Orthoimagery provided by Vulcan Materials, 2015 and site photos.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): See Kleinfelder Jurisdicational Map of Delineated Wetlands and Streams. Figure 11.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The isolated 2.84 acres of wetlands (WAA and WCD) and 3,357 lf of isolated streams (SMI, SMJ, SMK, SMN, SMO, SMQ, SMP, SMR, SMS, and SMW) are not located within the mapped 100-year floodplain. The isolated aquatic resources are physically ~700 feet away from the nearest jurisdicational aquatic resources. During inspection of the site, no surface connection (i.e. ditch, swale, etc.) was found between these isolated streams and wetlands and any other jurisdicational waters. The isolated waters flow directly into culverts or cascade directly over an approximately 200-400 foot quarry wall which is maintained all the way around the existing quarry operations. The flow is then captured within the bottom of the quarry pit and directed into on-site contained truckwash ponds and for dust suppression ponds. The water from the isolated streams and wetlands never leaves the quarry pit once it enters the quarry from this location, therefore severing all potential chemical, physical, and biological connections to downstream TNW's. See attached figures for further reference.