CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

The following is a living document subject to change at any time. For the most up to date version: http://www.sas.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Mitigation.aspx

Action	n ID: SAS-	
Proje	ct Name:	
Coun	ty:	
Locat	ion:	
Lat/Lo	ong (decimal degrees):	1
Ecore	egion (Per Griffith, et. al. 2002):	
	ired attachments: General location map NHDPlus map depicting location of proj "Program Features" - 303(d) Listed Imp Overflows, Facilities that Discharge to V Monitoring Locations, and Nonpoint Sol LiDAR map of the site Web Soil Survey soils map of the site	vaired Waters, Combined Sewer Vater, TMDLs on Impaired Waters,
i iehe	neu by	Date

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

I. Watershed Analysis

A. Has a watershed evaluation/analysis been undertaken?

YES / NO

How were the following factors considered in the analysis?

- Within what watershed is the proposed project located (8-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code)?
- What is the percentage of impervious cover within the watershed (provide current and/or future projection)?
- Is there a watershed plan and/or 305(b)/303(d) report available that can be included in the analysis (cite reference)?
- What are the dominant stressors of the watershed, which have the highest potential to impact water bodies?
- Are the symptoms systemic or localized?
- Where is the proposed project located within the specific watershed?

B. Has a Local Drainage Area Assessment been undertaken?

YES / NO

How were the following factors considered in the analysis?

- What is the approximate size of the drainage area?
- What is the stream order(s) on the mitigation site?
- Has the stream(s) within the mitigation site been hydrologically altered?
- Is the stream(s) on the mitigation site located within urban or rural setting?
- List any foreseeable changes to the site.

Describe:				

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

II. Site Selection Criteria and other Site Considerations

	escribe how the above factors (in the Watershed Analysis Secti ed to the project site selection criteria:	
В. С	Other important factors to consider for all stream mitigation project	cts:
	The location of the impact area(s) within the Ecological and of	
c. s	tream designation:	
	Primary Trout Stream Secondary Trout Stream Warm Water Coastal Plain (See V.C.4. below)	
	Vill Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) resources be affected?	YES / NO
Expla	ain:	
	/ill Federally Threatened or Endangered Species or designate tats be affected? (Positive and/or Negative)	ed Critical YES / NO
F. W	/ill State Listed Protected and Rare Species be affected?	YES / NO
Expla	ain:	

G. Will Anadromous Fish or similar aquatic species be affected? Explain:	YES / NO
H. Do Cultural Resources exist on the site?	YES / NO
Explain:	
I. Do any Haz/Tox issues exist on the site, or within 1-mile upstream?	YES / NO
Explain:	

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

III. Reference Ecosystem

A. Has a Reference Reach (RR) / Reference Ecosystem (RE) been every surveyed and has a report been prepared that evaluates Hydrology, Geo and Biology functions?		
Describe the comparison between the RR/RE and the Mitigation Site:		
B. Was Soil Fertility sampling undertaken in the RE? (Attach Report)	YES / NO	
C. Reference Reach Lat/Long (given in decimal degrees):		
D. Does the reference reach appear on the 303(d) list for streams "Not 5 "Partially Supporting" listed uses?	Supporting" or YES / NO	
Explain:		

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

IV. Site Level Impairment Assessment/Baseline Assessment

A. How were the following factors surveyed in the site assessment?

1. Hydrology

- Flow Duration (Base Flow and Bankfull Flow)
- Floodplain Connectivity (Bank Height Ratio; Entrenchment Ratio)

2. Geomorphology

- Bed Form Diversity (Longitudinal Survey)
- Lateral Stability ("Monumented" Cross Section Survey)
- Average Riparian Buffer Width and Predominant Vegetative Cover Type (Include data from both banks)
- Substrate Diversity (Wohlman Pebble Count)

Benthic Macro-invertebrate Survey

3. Biology

Describe how the above factors have been applied to the project baseling	e assessment:
B. Were any other factors incorporated into the baseline assessment of site?	the mitigation
C. Summarize the site's compromised function(s)/impairment(s) (Attac Functional Assessment Report):	ch with

D. Describe the analysis and consideration of potential impacts to the mitigation that may occur from changes in upstream and adjacent land use:	
E. Has a jurisdictional determination been undertaken and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the site?	I verified by the YES / NO

Describe project GOALS SM	MART.
Describe Target FUNCTIO	NS ^{SMART} .
e these Specific/Measurab	ole/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable? YES / NO
plain:	
Stream Design Considera 1. Type of proposed proje definitions):	ations ^{SMART} ect (check all that apply / See 33CFR Part 332.2 for
Re-establishment Enhancement	EstablishmentRehabilitationPreservation
	sign" proposed <u>and ecologically appropriate</u> ? inimal or no in-channel work) YES / NO
Describe:	
3. Describe how the 4 Dime plan:	ensions of Stream Dynamics were considered in the

	b. Lateral (Side to side)
	c. Vertical (Hyporheic zone)
	d. Temporal (Life of project/Adaptive Management)
4.	Coastal Plain Stream Projects: Have the following coastal plain design factors been considered and applied in the mitigation plan: YES / NO
	 Alluvial (not Colluvial or Bedrock) Sand Bed Unconfined valley Low energy
	 Low slope Reach types: Braided and Regime Reach Pool types: Scour (Eddy and Lateral), Dammed backwater and Abandoned Channel
5.	Describe proposed Buffer Area (location, width(s), continuity, maintenance/management plan):
6.	Is a Department of the Army permit associated with the construction of this project? YES / NO
D. Pi	Type: roposed STRUCTURAL ^{SMART} Elements
1.	Vegetation/Biotic
8	Have diversity and density of species within the Reference Ecosystem been considered in the plan? YES / NO

b	Has consideration been given to planting the wetland/upland interface with suitable transition zone species?	YES / NO
c	. Are plantings listed to species?	YES / NO
d	I. Are local propagules (200 miles north/south) to be planted and verified by nursery certificate?	YES / NO
	Describe the Planting Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan	-
2. \$	Soils	
a	. Has an onsite soils assessment been undertaken?	YES / NO
b	. Confirmed Soil Series and Textures (must include soil profile field	d descriptions):
c	Are the properties of the existing soils appropriate for the target community?	YES / NO
	Describe:	
d	. Fertility sampling undertaken in the mitigation site? (Attach report)	YES / NO
е	. Are the fertility results within the standards for the plantings?	YES / NO
	Describe results/amendments required:	

field crowns, tile drainage system?	YES / NO
Describe findings and strategies to address:	
g. Is disking/topsoil management proposed in the buffer?	YES / NO
Describe:	
Hydrology a. If plans include restoring a lower order headwater system, has Hymodeling been prepared for low, average and high conditions? (Attach Report)	ydrologic YES / NO
Describe and justify type of hydrologic model used:	
b. If plans include restoring a higher order riverine system, has Hyd	rologic YES / NO
Modeling been prepared for low, average and high conditions? (Attach Report)	1E2 / NC

d. Is grading proposed? (Attach grading plan)	YES / NO	
Describe:		

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

VI. Consideration of Factors of Failure

A. Describe how the following have been considered for this project (includes foreseeable changes off-site):

1.	Elevations/biological benchmarks:
2.	Erosion:
3.	Human Impacts:
4.	Nuisance vegetation:
5.	Herbivory:
6.	Beaver Impacts:
7.	Soil/Substrate/Geologic Properties:
8.	Construction-phase site degradation:

for the project? [berms, dikes, excavated areas with spoil placed	
within the project site, etc.]	YES / NO
Describe/Justify:	
Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable?	YES / NO
Explain:	

(Include any interim/provisional performance standards necessary to track project trajectory)	
A. Hydrology:	
B. Geomorphology:	
C. Riparian Vegetation/Plant Community:	
D. Benthic Macro-invertebrates/Biology:	
Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable?	YES / NO
Explain:	

A. Describe Hydrology Monitoring Plan:				
B. Describe the type of monitoring equipment proposed, number of gauge/well stations proposed and methodology for locating stations, and installing, maintaining and analysis with ERDC Technical Note 05-02 and other scientifically acceptable methodology:				
For groundwater driven systems, monitoring wells are required to be installed and maintained pursuant to the most recent ERDC Technical Note. Describe typof wells and maintenance plan:				
For surface water driven systems, flood gauges are required to be installed. Describe type of gages and maintenance plan:				
Is the hydrologic regime predicted by the water budget appropriate for the target stream and any adjacent wetlands? YES / NO C. Describe Geomorphology Monitoring Plan?				
D. Number of Cross Sections/Sampling Sites and methodology for locating/sizing survey sites:				

Describe Vegetation Monitoring Plan (including the number of plots a methodology for locating stations):	
F. Describe Benthic Macro-invertebrate Monitoring Plan (including the name and methodology for locating stations):	
G. Will the As-Built Report to be submitted within 30 days of project construction?	YES / NO
If "No" is selected above, please provide an explanation:	
H. Deadline date for first Annual Monitoring Report (to be provided no 10 months and no later than 14 months after completion of construction	
Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable?	YES / NO
Explain:	

	Describe proposed Financial Assurances:
В.	Describe Adaptive Management strategies:
c.	Name and telephone number of person responsible for the success of this project:
D.	Describe the Final Disposition of the property and legal protection mechanism(s):
	Describe the Long Term Management / Stewardship Plan for the property and w funded:
F. effe	Name and phone number of person who will manage the site after the mitigation ort is deemed successful:

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

Other Notes:

Address the sections of the document in which all problems and/or deficiencies have been identified.