

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 10, 2019**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Savannah District, Georgia International Rail Park (GIRP), SAS-2018-00235**

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Georgia County/parish/borough: Effingham County City: Rincon  
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.309548° N, Long. -81.258218° W  
Universal Transverse Mercator: 17S 475681 3574591  
Name of nearest waterbody: Polly Branch

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Savannah River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03060109 - Lower Savannah River

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 24, 2019  
 Field Determination. Date(s): October 02, 2018 and October 03, 2018

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  
 Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  
Explain: .

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):<sup>1</sup>**

- TNWs, including territorial seas  
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  
 Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  
 Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  
Wetlands: acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>**

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.  
Explain: **Wetland IW1, IW2, IW3, IW4, IW5, and IW6 re surrounded completely by uplands and they do not contain surface or subsurface connections with jurisdictional waters or wetlands and are therefore isolated, non-jurisdictional.**

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": n/a.

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 0 acres

Drainage area: 0 acres

Average annual rainfall: 0 inches

Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: .

Tributary stream order, if known: .

---

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- Tributary is:  Natural  
 Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width:       feet  
Average depth:       feet  
Average side slopes: **Pick List**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- |  |  |                                   |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silts           | <input type="checkbox"/> Sands                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles         | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Muck     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock         | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover: |                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain: |  |                                   |

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:

Tributary geometry: **Pick List**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):       %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Pick List**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime: Mostly in-bank but subject to out-of-bank flow following heavy precipitation events.

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: **Pick List**. Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings:

- Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply): |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank            | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil                     | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving   | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent              | <input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away                 | <input type="checkbox"/> scour                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events |
| <input type="checkbox"/> water staining                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list):  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:            |   |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by:              | <input type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by:            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects      | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum;                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings;                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics         | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges                              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list):                             |  |

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  
 Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Typical wetland hydric soils meeting upland non-hydric soil causing vegetative transition zone..
- Habitat for:  
 Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  
 Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  
 Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  
 Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: \_\_\_\_\_ acres

Wetland type. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Wetland quality. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics: \_\_\_\_\_

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: \_\_\_\_\_

Dye (or other) test performed: Saturated soils present at intervals in draw leading to RPWs.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Ecological connection. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Identify specific pollutants, if known: \_\_\_\_\_

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  
 Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Hydrophytic vegetation 100%, emergent wetland.  
 Habitat for:  
 Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  
 Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  
 Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  
 Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List**

Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)      Size (in acres)      Directly abuts? (Y/N)      Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetland area collects water in a depression. There is subsurface flow after periods with rain rainfall levels. After poll levels recede slightly, most water is lost via evaporation.

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

**Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:**

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: .
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .

### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
  - TNWs:      linear feet      width (ft), Or,      acres.
  - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:      acres.
2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
  - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: .
  - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

**3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup>**

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>10</sup>**

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>9</sup>To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.  
Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: 7.437 acres. Borrow pit
- Wetlands: 3.008 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USGS Topographic Map, Non-Regulated Features, 8/14/2019.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Soil Map, Non-Regulated Features, 8/14/2019.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Wetlands Inventory Map, Non-Regulated Features, 8/14/2019.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA Flood Map, Non-Regulated Features, 8/14/2019.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): 1999 Color-Infrared Imagery, Non-Regulated Features, 8/14/2019.  
or  Other (Name & Date): .
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): NOAA Topographic LiDAR, Non-Regulated Features, 8/14/2019 .

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** There are no natural or man-made discrete and/or confined surface water connection between the subject features and any other jurisdictional waters. Further, there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from these features, nor are they located within the mapped 100 year flood plain. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is a very low probability that floodwater would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into these features. Consequently, based on the site inspection and review of available mapping, the isolated wetlands are not located immediately upslope of other jurisdictional waters. There is no hydrologic connectivity to adjacent hydrologic system because of natural landscape positions. Wetlands IW1(0.404-ac), IW2(0.482-ac), IW3 (0.553-ac), IW4 (0.710-ac), IW5 (0.764-ac), IW6 (0.095-ac) have no surface or subsurface hydrologic connection to other jurisdictional waters. The observations for the features are detailed as follows:

IW 1: Is a depressional isolated wetland 0.404-ac in size located at 32.311782, -81.264601. The wetland is 319 linear feet from the nearest potentially jurisdictional water, >6.73 miles from the nearest TNW (Lockner Creek) and ±466 linear feet from the 100 year flood plain. The uplands surrounding the wetland are approximately 1-2 foot higher in elevation than the average surface elevation within the wetland. During the site inspection no surface connection such as ditches or swales were found between this wetland and other jurisdictional waters. Further there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from the feature. Field inspection did not reveal a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The upland soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional wetland are mapped as Rigdon Sand (SPD) by the NRCS. Rigdon Sand is somewhat poorly drained and has a sandy texture. A shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water. Based on observed site conditions, it appears that any subsurface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

IW 2: Is a depressional isolated wetland 0.482-ac in size located at 32.315372, -81.256053. The wetland is 623 linear feet from the nearest potentially jurisdictional water, >6.21 miles from the nearest TNW (Lockner Creek) and ±1,680 linear feet from the 100 year flood plain. The uplands surrounding the wetland are approximately 1-2 foot higher in elevation than the average surface elevation within the wetland. During the site inspection no surface connection such as ditches or swales were found between this wetland and other jurisdictional waters. Further there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from the feature. Field inspection did not reveal a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The upland soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional wetland are mapped as Rigdon Sand (SPD) by the NRCS. Rigdon Sand is somewhat poorly drained and has a sandy texture. A shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water. Based on observed site conditions, it appears that any subsurface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

IW 3: Is a depressional isolated wetland 0.553-ac in size located at 32.314728, -81.250013. The wetland is 409 linear feet from the nearest potentially jurisdictional water, >5.86 miles from the nearest TNW (Lockner Creek) and ±1,604 linear feet from the 100 year flood plain. The uplands surrounding the wetland are approximately 1-2 foot higher in elevation than the average surface elevation within the wetland. During the site inspection no surface connection such as ditches or swales were found between this wetland and other jurisdictional waters. Further there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from the feature. Field inspection did not reveal a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The upland soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional wetland are mapped as Rigdon Sand (SPD) by the NRCS. Rigdon Sand is somewhat poorly drained and has a sandy texture. A shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water. Based on observed site conditions, it appears that any subsurface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

IW 4: Is a depressional isolated wetland 0.710-ac in size located at 32.300901, -81.255037. The wetland is 204 linear feet from the nearest potentially jurisdictional water, >6.88 miles from the nearest TNW (Lockner Creek) and ±882 linear feet from the 100 year flood plain. The uplands surrounding the wetland are approximately 1-2 foot higher in elevation than the average surface elevation within the wetland. During the site inspection no surface connection such as ditches or swales were found between this wetland and other jurisdictional waters. Further there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from the feature. Field inspection did not reveal a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The upland soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional wetland are mapped as Mascotte Sand (PD) by the NRCS. Mascotte Sand is poorly drained and has a sandy texture. A shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water. Based on observed site conditions, it appears that any subsurface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

IW 5: Is a depressional isolated wetland 0.764-ac in size located at 32.289626, -81.263884. The wetland is 439 linear feet from the nearest potentially jurisdictional water, >7.70 miles from the nearest TNW (Lockner Creek) and ±2,963 linear feet from the 100 year flood plain. The uplands surrounding the wetland are approximately 1-2 foot higher in elevation than the average surface elevation within the wetland. During the site inspection no surface connection such as ditches or swales were found between this wetland and other jurisdictional waters. Further there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from the feature. Field inspection did not reveal a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The upland soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional wetland are mapped as Ridgeland-Boulogne complex (SPD) by the NRCS. Ridgeland-Boulogne complex is somewhat poorly drained and has a fine texture. A shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water. Based on observed site conditions, it appears that any subsurface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

IW 6: Is a depressional isolated wetland 0.095-ac in size located at 32.291459, -81.260623. The wetland is 358 linear feet from the nearest potentially jurisdictional water, >7.53 miles from the nearest TNW (Lockner Creek) and ±2,977 linear feet from the 100 year flood plain. The uplands surrounding the wetland are approximately 1-2 foot higher in elevation than the average surface elevation within the wetland. During



the site inspection no surface connection such as ditches or swales were found between this wetland and other jurisdictional waters. Further there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from the feature. Field inspection did not reveal a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional wetland are mapped as Ridgeland-Boulogne complex (SPD) by the NRCS. Ridgeland-Boulogne complex is somewhat poorly drained and has a fine texture. A shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the jurisdictional water. Based on observed site conditions, it appears that any subsurface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

NJB1: Is an upland excavated borrow pit 7.437-ac in size located at 32.316793, -81.254184. As discussed on Page 41217 of the preamble to 33 CFR Part 320 through 330, Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, Final Rule, "For clarification it should be noted that we generally do not consider the following waters to be "Waters of the United States."... (E) Water filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel.