

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 10/28/2020 ORM Number: SAS-2020-00068 Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Georgia City: County/Parish/Borough: Wayne

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 31.4802 Longitude -81.7143

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- □ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- □ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³					
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):						
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):					
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A. N/A.		N/A.	N/A.	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):					
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
Wetland 1	39.571	acre(s)	(a)(4) Wetland abuts an (a)(1)- (a)(3) water.	This feature is part of a larger wetland complex appears to directly abut Mason Cowpen Branch according to available aerial imagery, USFWS NWI	

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):					
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
				Data, and the USGS National Hydrography Dataset. Mason Cowpen Branch flows through Alex Creek to the Altamaha River.	
Wetland 6	13.965	acre(s)	(a)(4) Wetland abuts an (a)(1)- (a)(3) water.	This feature is part of a larger wetland complex appears to directly abut Mason Cowpen Branch according to available aerial imagery, USFWS NWI Data, and the USGS National Hydrography Dataset. Mason Cowpen Branch flows through Alex Creek to the Altamaha River.	

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) - (b)	(12)):4		
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	n Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 2	1.01	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	This feature is not adjacent to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is not inundated by any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year, is physically separated from all $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year.
Wetland 3	1.277	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	This feature is not adjacent to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is not inundated by any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year, is physically separated from all $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year.
Wetland 4	0.234	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	This feature is not adjacent to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is not inundated by any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year, is physically separated from all $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year.
Wetland 5	0.589	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	This feature is not adjacent to any (a)(1)-(a)(3) waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any (a)(1)-(a)(3) waters, is not inundated by any (a)(1)-(a)(3) waters in a typical year, is physically separated from all (a)(1)-(a)(3)

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area. ⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$: ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
			waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any (a)(1)-(a)(3) waters in a typical year.	

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Approved JD Request submitted to the Corps on January 16, 2020 and additional information submitted on April 23, 2020
 - This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD. Rationale: N/A
 - Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
 - Photographs: Aerial: Figure No. 5: 2015 Otho Aerial and Figure No. 6: 1999 Color-Infrared Imagery
 - \Box Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
 - Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A
 - Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.*
 - USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Figure No. 3: NRCS Soil Map
 - USFWS NWI maps: Figure No. 4: National Wetlands Inventory
 - USGS topographic maps: Figure No. 2: USGS Topographic Map

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information				
USGS Sources	N/A.				
USDA Sources	N/A.				
NOAA Sources	Figure No. 7: NOAA Topographic LiDAR				
USACE Sources	N/A.				
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.				
Other Sources	N/A.				

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A

C. Additional comments to support AJD: All of the non-adjacent wetlands listed on this AJD are depressional wetlands that are surrounded by uplands. A review of aerial imagery, USFWS NWI data, USGS Topographic Maps, LiDAR and other data sources do not indicate that these wetlands have any clear potential to meet the definition of an adjacent wetland under the NWPR.