

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): August 6, 2021 ORM Number: SAS-2021-00300 Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: GA City: Aragon County/Parish/Borough: Polk County Center Coordinates of Review Area: latitude: 34.045102, longitude: -85.042713

II. FINDINGS

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
 - The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
 - There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.B).
 - There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C).
 - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters)³

(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):

(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3)) waters):

[(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3)) Criteria	Rati	onale for (a)(3) Determination
	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):

(a))(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
1N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

 5 Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - <u>X</u> Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Energy Storage AJD request, PDF pages 1-2, Cover Letter with Delineation Report, dated April 15, 2021; PDF page 6, Figure 2: Existing Conditions Map, dated September 29, 2021; PDF page 14, FEMA Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette Map, received April 16, 2021; PDF page 16, Figure 6: Accumulated Precipitation (WETS Table), received April 16, 2021. This information (*is/is not/is and is not*) sufficient for purposes of this AJD. Rationale: N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency (including partial insufficiency). Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
 - <u>x</u> Photographs: aerial and site photographs: Energy Storage AJD request, PDF page 21, Appendix B: Site Photographs, Photographs 1-2, received April 16, 2021. Google Earth aerials reviewed on August 6, 2021, dates: February 1993, January 1999, April 2004, November 2005, September 2006, December 2007, April 2008, September 2009, November 2012, November 2015, March 2017, April 2018, and November 2019. Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: N/A
 - Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJD): N/A
 - x Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
 - **x** USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Energy Storage AJD request, PDF pages 10-12, received April 16, 2021.
 - <u>x</u> USFWS NWI maps: *Energy Storage AJD request, PDF page 8, NWI Map, received April 16, 2021.*
 - <u>x</u> USGS topographic maps: *Energy Storage AJD request, PDF page 4, Figure 1: Site Location Map, 7.5 MIN USGS Topographic Quad, dated September 29, 2020.*

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information			
USGS Sources	StreamStats			
USDA Sources	N/A.			
NOAA Sources	N/A.			
USACE Sources	N/A.			
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.			
Other Sources	N/A.			

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

- **B. Typical year assessment(s):** According to the APT the site conditions on September 28, 2020 were wetter than normal. Therefore, there is a high level of confidence that any aquatic resources located on the site would have been identified during the site delineation. There are no aquatic resources identified within the review area of the property. Therefore, the site is comprised entirely of dry land because there are no waters, or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area.
- **C.** Additional comments to support AJD: Review of historic images on Google Earth reveal that the site was cleared of large woody vegetation (trees) prior to February 1993. The property located to the south of the project review area was developed between November 2005 and September 2006. The review area appears to have been previously used as agricultural land.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.