APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 12Oct2022

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:Savannah, Bourke Asset Mgt Winding Road Tract, SAS-2022-00789

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State:GA County/parish/borough: Berrien City:

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 31.2060° N, Long. -83.2974° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: New Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12Oct2022
- Field Determination. Date(s): 4Oct2022

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.

- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: See section IV B for additional discussion.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

	Watershed size:	Pick List Pick List	
	Drainage area:		
	Average annual rain	inches	
	Average annual sno	inches	

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: . Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):					
	Tributary is: 🗌 Natural					
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:					
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:					
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):					
	Average which feet					
	Average side slopes: Pick List.					
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Cobbles Gravel Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: .					
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List					
	Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %					
(a)	Flow					
(\mathbf{c})	Tributary provides for: Pick List					
	Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List					
	Describe flow regime:					
	Other information on duration and volume:					
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:					
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:					
	Tributary has (check all that apply):					
	Bed and banks					
	OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):					
	clear, natural line impressed on the bank in the presence of litter and debris					
	changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation					
	shelving the presence of wrack line					
	leaf litter disturbed or washed away					
	sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events					
	water staining abrupt change in plant community					
	other (list):					
	Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:					
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:					
	oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;					
	fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;					
	☐ physical markings/characteristics ☐ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. ☐ tidal gauges					
	other (list):					
Che	emical Characteristics:					
Cha	racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).					

Explain:

(iii)

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

....

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

- Other non-wetland waters:
 - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 5.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 6

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹
 - As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
 - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

] Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

- Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
 - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):	linear feet	width (ft).
Lakas/ponder agree		

Lakes/ponds: a

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: 2.6 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	Mane plane plate or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

\mathbf{X}	Data sheets	prepared	submitted	by or or	n behalf	of the	applicant	con
	5 7 - 00				and a state of the state of the			

Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:USA Topographic Quadrangle Map, Figure 3.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:NRCS Soils Map,Custom Soil Resource Report.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Wetlands Inventory, Figure 5.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps:FEMA Flood Zones, Figure 6.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

÷

- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):June 2022.
- or Other (Name & Date):
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: There is no natural or man-made discrete and/or confined surface water connection between or among the subject features and any other jurisdictional water. Further, there is no evidence of surface-water flow to or from these features, nor are they located within the mapped 100-year flood plain. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is a very low probability that floodwater would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into these features.

Consequently, based on a review of available mapping, as these features are not located immediately upslope of other jurisdictional waters, and based on their respective landscape positions, they do not appear to be a component of any recognizable hydrologic system and they also have no surface or subsurface hydrologic connection to any other jurisdictional water. The observations and findings for each feature are detailed as follows:

Wetland WR and WP - The 2 and 1 acre wetlands are located along the northwestern boarder of the review area. They are approximately 150 feet from the nearest jurisdictional water and approximately 14 miles from the nearest TNW, the Alapaha River. They are located approximately 1.3 mile from the 100-year floodplain. The areas are located within a pine plantation adjacent to Ag. fields. Therefore, during times of heavy precipitation, there is a very low probability that floodwaters would reach an elevation necessary for water to flow from other jurisdictional waters into these features. The attached LIDAR image and topographic map do not depict a depressional surface feature between the isolated wetland the nearest jurisdictional water, where water might flow during a major rainfall event. The Corps site visit verified the lack of depressional features. The upland soils located between the isolated wetland and the nearest jurisdictional water and are considered well drained. Even though the upland soils are permeable, a shallow subsurface connection cannot be documented due to the distance from the isolated wetland to the nearest jurisdictional water. During the Corps site visit soil texture was verified. Additionally, there were no hydrology indicators in the upper 24". Based on site conditions and soil permeability, it appears that any subsuface flow would occur from the upland into the wetland.

















MAP LEGEND				MAP INFORMATION		
Area of Interest (AOI)		100	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at		
	Area of Interest (AOI) Stony Spot	1:20,000.				
ioils		m	Very Stony Spot	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		
Soil Map Unit P	Soil Map Unit Polygons	(LA) Ob	Wet Spot	measurements.		
	Soil Map Unit Lines	A	Other	Course of Marci - Natural Descurses Concentration Convine		
	Soil Map Unit Points	Δ	Other	Web Soil Survey URL:		
Special	Point Features	 Special Line Features 		Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
0	Blowout	Water Fea	atures	Mana from the Web Cail Company are based on the Web Manadar		
120	Borrow Pit	~	Streams and Canals	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the		
36	Clay Spot	Transport	tation			
~	Closed Depression	+++	Rails	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.		
~	Gravel Bit	~	Interstate Highways			
50		~	JUS Routes This product is g	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as		
**	Gravelly Spot	~	Major Roads	of the version date(s) listed below.		
Ø	Landfill	-	Local Roads	Soil Survey Area: Berrien and Lanier Counties, Georgia		
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	Ind	Survey Area Data: Version 13, Sep 3, 2021		
alle	Marsh or swamp	and the second	Aerial Photography	Soil man units are labeled (as space allows) for man scales		
R	Mine or Quarry			1:50,000 or larger.		
0	Miscellaneous Water					
õ	Perennial Water			26, 2021 Zerial images were photographed. Mar 10, 2021—Apr		
\vee	Rock Outcrop			The orthophote or other base men on which the soil lines were		
+	Saline Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background		
	Sandy Spot			imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor		
	Severely Eroded Spot			shining of map unit boundaries may be evident.		
Ó	Sinkhole					
3	Slide or Slip					
Ś	Sodic Spot					

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI			
At	Alapaha loamy sand	82.3	10.7%			
СоВ	Carnegie sandy loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	34.2	4.5%			
CoC2	Carnegie sandy loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes, eroded	5.9	0.8%			
FsB	Fuquay loamy sand, 0 to 4 percent slopes	258.9	33.8%			
Grd	Grady soils	14.6	1.9%			
ljA	Irvington loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	114.6	15.0%			
Job Johnston-Osier-Bibb association		13.7	1.8%			
LsA	Leefield loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	116.5	15.2%			
PI	Pelham loamy sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded		2.4%			
Pls	Pelham loamy sand, low terrace	12.0	1.6%			
SeB	Stilson loamy sand, 0 to 4 percent slopes	6.7	0.9%			
ТqА	Tifton loamy sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes	3.5	0.5%			
ТqВ	Tifton loamy sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes		10.9%			
W	Water	0.4	0.1%			
Totals for Area of Interest		765.3	100.0%			

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made