

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SAVANNAH DISTRICT 100 W. OGLETHORPE AVENUE SAVANNAH, GEORGIA 31401-3604

SAS-RD-C

05 August 2024

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023),¹ SAS-2023-00947 MFR 1 or 1²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴ For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the Clean Water Act (CWA) implementing regulations published by the Department of the Army in 1986 and amended in 1993 (references 2.a. and 2.b. respectively), the 2008 Rapanos-Carabell guidance (reference 2.c.), and other applicable guidance, relevant case law and longstanding practice, (collectively the pre-2015 regulatory regime), and the Sackett decision (reference 2.d.) in evaluating iurisdiction.

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. The features addressed in this AJD were evaluated consistent with the definition of "waters of the United States" found in the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. This AJD did not rely on the 2023 "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States," as

¹ While the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett* had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, interstate water, or territorial seas that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

SAS-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SAS-2023-00947

amended on 8 September 2023 (Amended 2023 Rule) because, as of the date of this decision, the Amended 2023 Rule is not applicable in this state due to litigation.

- 1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.
 - a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
Wetland A	Non-JD	N/A
Wetland B	Non-JD	N/A
Wetland C	Non-JD	N/A

- 2. REFERENCES.
 - a. Final Rule for Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, 51 FR 41206 (November 13, 1986).
 - b. Clean Water Act Regulatory Programs, 58 FR 45008 (August 25, 1993).
 - c. U.S. EPA & U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in *Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States* (December 2, 2008)
 - d. Sackett v. EPA, 598 U.S. _, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- 3. REVIEW AREA.
 - A. Project Area Size (in acres): 121.92 B. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees) Latitude: 32.519758 C. Nearest City or Town: Statesboro D. County: Bulloch
 - E. State: Georgia
 - F. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes): N/A
- 4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. N/A

SAS-RD SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of Sackett v. EPA, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SAS-2023-00947

- 5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS N/A
- 6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁶: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁷ N/A
- 7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the pre-2015 regulatory regime. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
 - a. TNWs (a)(1): N/A
 - b. Interstate Waters (a)(2): N/A
 - c. Other Waters (a)(3): N/A
 - d. Impoundments (a)(4): N/A
 - e. Tributaries (a)(5): N/A
 - f. The territorial seas (a)(6): N/A

⁶ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁷ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

SAS-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SAS-2023-00947

g. Adjacent wetlands (a)(7): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified as "generally non-jurisdictional" in the preamble to the 1986 regulations (referred to as "preamble waters").⁸ Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA as a preamble water. N/A
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area identified as "generally not jurisdictional" in the *Rapanos* guidance. Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA based on the criteria listed in the guidance. N/A
- c. Describe aquatic resources and features identified within the review area as waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of CWA. Include the size of the waste treatment system within the review area and describe how it was determined to be a waste treatment system. N/A
- d. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area determined to be prior converted cropland in accordance with the 1993 regulations (reference 2.b.). Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be prior converted cropland. N/A
- e. Describe aquatic resources (i.e. lakes and ponds) within the review area, which do not have a nexus to interstate or foreign commerce, and prior to the January 2001 Supreme Court decision in "*SWANCC*," would have been jurisdictional based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule." Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature, and how it was determined to be an "isolated water" in accordance with *SWANCC*. N/A
- f. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the pre-2015 regulatory regime consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett* (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

⁸ 51 FR 41217, November 13, 1986.

SAS-RD SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SAS-2023-00947

Name of excluded feature	Size (in acres)	Type of resource generally not jurisdictional	
Wetland A	0.11	Wetland lacks a continuous surface connection to water of the US. This wetland is a historically manmade feature that has naturalized into a depressional wetland that is surrounded by uplands. Based on a site visit conducted on May 6, 2024, and contour lines from LIDAR, the Corps has determined there is no discrete feature present that would constitute a continuous surface connection to an a(1)-a(6) water. Additionally, there is no evidence that this wetland has subsurface connections below the adjacent uplands.	
Wetland B	0.08	Wetland lacks a continuous surface connection to water of the US. This wetland is a historically manmade feature that has naturalized into a depressional wetland that is surrounded by uplands. Based on a site visit conducted on May 6, 2024, and contour lines from LIDAR, the Corps has determined there is no discrete feature present that would constitute a continuous surface connection to an a(1)-a(6) water. Additionally, there is no evidence that this wetland has subsurface connections below the adjacent uplands.	
Wetland C	0.68	Wetland lacks a continuous surface connection to water of the US. This wetland is a depressional wetland that is surrounded by uplands. Based on a site visit conducted on May 6, 2024, and contour lines from LIDAR, the Corps has determined there is no discrete feature present that would constitute a continuous surface connection to an a(1)-a(6) water.	

- 9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. 1. Date of Office (desktop review): June 28, 2024
 - 2. Date(s) of Field Review (if applicable): May 6, 2024
 - b. Data sources used to support this determination (included in the administrative record).
 - Aquatic Resources delineation submitted by, or on behalf of, the requestor: Titled dated December 15, 2023.
 - Photographs: Source: Corps site visit on May 6, 2024.
 - Aerial Imagery: Source: Google Earth; dated June 28, 2024.
 - LIDAR: Source: NOAA; dated June 28, 2024.
 - ⊠ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Titled "Custom Soil Resource Report'; dated June 28, 2024.
 - ☑ USFWS NWI maps: Titled "NWI"; dated June 28, 2024
 - USGS NHD data/maps: Titled "NHD"; dated June 28, 2024.
 - Antecedent Precipitation Tool Analysis: List Date(s) December 12, 2022, and May 6, 2024.

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

GPS ID	Latitude	Longitude		
1	A DAME OF THE OWNER TO A DAME OF THE OWNER	-81.656352	State AVY STATE	The second se
2	32.517164			T.
3	32.517322		A DE CONTRACTOR	A
4		-81.656601		
5		-81.656231		
6	The second second second second second	-81.656018		
7		-81.655949		16 22 18 20 18 20
8	32.516807			14
9	32.523977	-81.652353	a voge sek	12 • • 10
10	32.523902			A STATE AND A STAT
11	32.523871		A NEWSBERGER	Constant a series and a series
12	32.523929			
13		-81.652531		В
14		-81.652410		
15		-81.652101		and the provident of the second of the second second
15		-81.652034	and a start of the	
10		-81.651927	Second Participation	
18		-81.651732		A CARLENT AND A
19		-81.651596	A REAL AND A	
20	32.524205		State Constant	
21		-81.651693		
22		-81.651907		and the second way to the second s
TACAN				
States of			In the lot of the	
	- KK		A SHOW THE TON	The second s
				and the second s
	5 33 45	Alex A	11 1 1 4 S 3 20 4	
				The second second second
	States Inc.			AND
	10.1010	- Alter buch		
	4624	111	Start 2 A P	
San	1803	1.895		and the state of the second state of the secon
		10198 3	all and the second	
		1000		a set of the
1022 M			E GILL LE MARTEN	
	A Store In	MARAN .	$2N_{1} = 1/2N_{1}$	C
S.C.S.	18 14			A state of the second sec
113.4	14 11 14		4	
3		1. 1. 2	2 .6	
	t de de	Same Ca		All a second sec
	1 - 1 - 2	SAP PAR	•	
E vine		and the second second	States and States	NAME OF THE OWNER OF
1.0%		7 3 685 A	19 4 2 6 2 1 4	A CARLER AND A CARLE
PA MA			States and the states	March March & The Color of the state
1990	all's		ALL P	
attender .	40.5 4	12		
THE SHARES THE	Ser.	A State of the second s		The second
Agrees	Allan .	A State in		Area Size (Acres)
	anter in			AreaSize (Acres)Upland A121.05
	oject Area			Upland A121.05Wetland A0.11
Upl	an <mark>d</mark>			Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08
Upl	100 L			Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68
Upl	land tland S Point 300			Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68 WETLAND TOTAL 0.87
Upl	land tland S Point			Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68
Upl	and tland S Point <u>300</u> Feet			Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68 WETLAND TOTAL 0.87 PROJECT AREA 121.92
Upl	and tland S Point 300 Feet			Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68 WETLAND TOTAL 0.87 PROJECT AREA 121.92
Upl We • GP 0 150 Figure No.: Prepared By: Sketch Date:	and tland S Point 300 Feet 8 BS 12/15/2023	Bullow	ch County. Georgia	Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68 WETLAND TOTAL 0.87
Upl We • GP 0 150 Figure No.: Prepared By:	and tland S Point 300 Feet 8 BS 12/15/2023	Bulloc	ch County, Georgia	Upland A 121.05 Wetland A 0.11 Wetland B 0.08 Wetland C 0.68 WETLAND TOTAL 0.87 PROJECT AREA 121.92