



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 8/30/2021
 ORM Number: SAS-2021-00474
 Associated JDs: N/A.
 Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Georgia City: Macon County/Parish/Borough: Bibb
 Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 32.716179 Longitude -83.655976

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland A	0.91	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland does not meet any of the criteria in the definition of adjacent wetlands under c(1)
Pond 1	0.344	acre(s)	(b)(1) Lake/pond or impoundment that does not contribute surface water flow directly or indirectly to an (a)(1) water and is not inundated by flooding from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.	The pond was excavated in upland and constructed in 2008 as part of a mining operation at the site. Mining has since ceased. This feature is not identified in (a)(1)-(a)(4) and does not meet the other (b)(1) sub-categories.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Approved Jurisdictional Determination \(AJD\) package for Airport North Industrial Park dated June 9, 2021 submitted by GEC, Inc.](#)
This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
Rationale: [N/A.](#)
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- Photographs: [Aerial and Other: 2019 Aerial Photograph, Source: Google Earth.](#)
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [8-12-2021](#)
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Macon Bibb County June 9, 2021.](#)
- USFWS NWI maps: [June 9, 2021, Source: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service](#)
- USGS topographic maps: [Macon West, GA Quadrangle \(2014\).](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS/WBD/NHD data/maps	NHD maps accessed on ORM, Stream Stats.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
Other Sources	FIRM Map (Source: FEMA Map Service Center Website), Surface Mining Land Use Plan, approved by the State of Georgia on May 20, 2009.

- B. Typical year assessment(s):** Site conditions during the Agent's (March 12, 2021 & August 16, 2021) and the Corps' (August 12, 2021) site inspections were normal based on the APT's capability to evaluate the normal range of precipitation within the geographic extent for this review area. These data are based on a projected 30-day rolling average of precipitation documented within the 30th and 70th percentile of the 30-year record for average precipitation. Therefore, there is a high level of confidence that excluded features are not jurisdictional.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD:** A Surface Mining Land Use Plan documents the construction date of Pond 1 as January 2008. The isolated wetland was field verified by the Corps and a downstream surface hydrologic connection was not located. The closest traditional navigable water is the Ocmulgee River and the aquatic resources would have to be hydrologically connected to an unnamed tributary that is located off site to the south which eventually drains to the Echeconnee Creek which drains to the Ocmulgee River.