The following is a living document subject to change at any time. For the most up to date version: <u>http://www.sas.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Mitigation.aspx</u>
Action ID: SAS-
Project Name:
County:
Location:
Lat/Long (decimal degrees): /
Ecoregion (Per Griffith, et. al. 2002):
<ul> <li>Required attachments:</li> <li>General location map</li> <li>NHDPlus map depicting location of project in watershed (include the following "Program Features" - 303(d) Listed Impaired Waters, Combined Sewer Overflows, Facilities that Discharge to Water, TMDLs on Impaired Waters, Monitoring Locations, and Nonpoint Source Projects)</li> <li>LiDAR map of the site</li> <li>Web Soil Survey soils map of the site</li> </ul>
Prepared By:
Date

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

# I. Watershed Analysis

# A. Has a watershed evaluation/analysis been undertaken? YES / NO

How were the following factors considered in the analysis?

- Within what watershed is the proposed project located (8-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code)?
- What is the percentage of impervious cover within the watershed (provide current and/or future projection)?
- Is there a watershed plan and/or 305(b)/303(d) report available that can be included in the analysis (cite reference)?
- What are the dominant stressors of the watershed, which have the highest potential to impact water bodies?
- Are the symptoms systemic or localized?
- Where is the proposed project located within the specific watershed?

### B. Has a Local Drainage Area Assessment been undertaken? YES / NO

How were the following factors considered in the analysis?

- What is the approximate size of the drainage area?
- What is the stream order(s) on the mitigation site?
- Has the stream(s) within the mitigation site been hydrologically altered?
- Is the stream(s) on the mitigation site located within urban or rural setting?
- List any foreseeable changes to the site.

Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

### **II. Site Selection Criteria and other Site Considerations**

**A.** Describe how the above factors (in the Watershed Analysis Section) have been applied to the project site selection criteria:

**B.** Other important factors to consider for all stream mitigation projects:

The location of the <u>impact area(s)</u> within the Ecoregion and specific watershed

- The location of the <u>compensatory mitigation project</u> within the Ecoregion and specific watershed
- Is the proposal a stream project, a wetland project or <u>both</u>?

#### C. Stream designation:

- □ Primary Trout Stream
- □ Secondary Trout Stream
- Warm Water
- □ Coastal Plain (See V.C.4. below)

#### D. Will Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) resources be affected?

(Positive and/or Negative effect)

YES/NO

Explain:

#### E. Will Federally Threatened or Endangered Species or designated Critical Habitats be affected? (Positive and/or Negative) YES / NO

Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

# F. Will State Listed Protected and Rare Species be affected? YES / NO

G. Will Anadromous Fish or similar aquatic species be affected?	YES / NO
Explain:	
H. Do Cultural Resources exist on the site?	YES / NO
Explain:	
I. Do any <b>Haz/Tox</b> issues exist on the site, or within 1-mile upstream?	YES / NO

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

### III. Reference Ecosystem

A. Has a Reference Reach (RR) / Reference Ecosystem (RE) been evaluated, surveyed and has a **report been** prepared that evaluates Hydrology, Geomorphology, and Biology functions? YES / NO

Describe the comparison between the RR/RE and the Mitigation Site:

**B.** Was **Soil Fertility sampling** undertaken in the RE? (Attach Report) **YES / NO** 

C. Reference Reach Lat/Long (given in decimal degrees):

D. Does the reference reach appear on the 303(d) list for streams "Not Supporting" or "Partially Supporting" listed uses? YES / NO

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

# IV. Site Level Impairment Assessment/Baseline Assessment

A. How were the following factors surveyed in the site assessment?

# 1. Hydrology

- Flow Duration (Base Flow and Bankfull Flow)
- Floodplain Connectivity (Bank Height Ratio; Entrenchment Ratio)

# 2. Geomorphology

- Bed Form Diversity (Longitudinal Survey)
- Lateral Stability ("Monumented" Cross Section Survey)
- Average Riparian Buffer Width and Predominant Vegetative Cover Type (Include data from both banks)
- Substrate Diversity (Wohlman Pebble Count)

# 3. Biology

Benthic Macro-invertebrate Survey

Describe how the above factors have been applied to the project baseline assessment:

**B.** Were any other factors incorporated into the baseline assessment of the mitigation site?

**C.** Summarize the site's compromised **function(s)/impairment(s)** (Attach with Functional Assessment Report): \_\_\_\_\_

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

**D.** Describe the analysis and consideration of potential impacts to the mitigation site that may occur from changes in upstream and adjacent land use:

E. Has a jurisdictional determination been undertaken and verified by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the site? YES / NO

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

# V. The Foundation

A. Describe project **GOALS**<sup>SMART</sup>:

B. Describe Target FUNCTIONS<sup>SMART</sup>:

Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable? YES / NO

Explain:

# C. Stream Design Considerations<sup>SMART</sup>

1. Type of proposed project (check all that apply / See 33CFR Part 332.2 for definitions):

Re-establishmentEstablishmentRehabilitationEnhancementPreservation

2. Is "Natural Channel Design" proposed <u>and ecologically appropriate</u>? (When compared with minimal or no in-channel work) YES / NO

Describe:			

- **3.** Describe how the **4 Dimensions of Stream Dynamics** were considered in the plan:
  - a. Longitudinal (Upstream/downstream)\_\_\_\_\_

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

	b. Lateral (Side to side)
	c. Vertical (Hyporheic zone)
	d. Temporal (Life of project/Adaptive Management)
4.	Coastal Plain Stream Projects: Have the following coastal plain design factors been considered and applied in the mitigation plan: YES / NO
	<ul> <li>Alluvial (not Colluvial or Bedrock)</li> <li>Sand Bed</li> <li>Uncertified wellow</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Unconfined valley</li> <li>Low energy</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Low slope</li> <li>Reach types: Braided and Regime Reach</li> <li>Pool types: Scour (Eddy and Lateral), Dammed backwater and Abandoned Channel</li> </ul>
5.	Describe proposed <b>Buffer Area</b> (location, width(s), continuity, maintenance/management plan):
6.	Is a <b>Department of the Army permit associated with the</b> construction of this project? YES / NO
	Туре:
D. P	roposed STRUCTURAL <sup>SMART</sup> Elements
1.	Vegetation/Biotic
	a. Have diversity and density of species within the Reference

Ecosystem been considered in the plan?

YES / NO

	b.	Has consideration been given to planting the wetland/upland interface with suitable transition zone species?	YES / NO
	c.	Are plantings listed to species?	YES / NO
	d.	Are local propagules (200 miles north/south) to be planted and <b>verified by nursery certificate</b> ?	YES / NO
		Describe the Planting Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan:	
2.	S	oils	
	a.	Has an onsite soils assessment been undertaken?	YES / NO
	b.	Confirmed Soil Series and Textures (must include soil profile field	descriptions):
	c.	Are the properties of the existing soils appropriate for the target community?	YES / NO
		Describe:	
	ام	Eartility compliant undertaken in the mitigation site?	
	a. (	Attach report)	1 E3 / NU
	e.	Are the fertility results within the standards for the plantings?	YES / NO
		Describe results/amendments required:	

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

f.	If <b>PC Cropland or Exposed to Past Live Stock Usage</b> , has site evaluated for plow pans, compaction from livestock usage,	been
	field crowns, tile drainage system?	YES / NO
	Describe findings and strategies to address:	
g	. Is disking/topsoil management proposed in the buffer?	YES / NO
	Describe:	
3. H	łydrology	
a	<ul> <li>If plans include restoring a lower order headwater system, has Hy Modeling been prepared for low, average and high conditions? (Attach Report)</li> <li>Describe and justify type of hydrologic model used:</li> </ul>	drologic YES / NO
а	<ul> <li>If plans include restoring a lower order headwater system, has Hy Modeling been prepared for low, average and high conditions? (Attach Report)</li> <li>Describe and justify type of hydrologic model used:</li></ul>	vdrologic YES / NO
a b	<ul> <li>If plans include restoring a lower order headwater system, has Hy Modeling been prepared for low, average and high conditions? (Attach Report)</li> <li>Describe and justify type of hydrologic model used:</li></ul>	rologic YES / NO
a b	<ul> <li>If plans include restoring a lower order headwater system, has Hy Modeling been prepared for low, average and high conditions? (Attach Report)</li> <li>Describe and justify type of hydrologic model used:</li></ul>	rologic YES / NO

**c.** Is the **hydrologic regime** predicted by the hydrologic model appropriate for the target stream(s)? YES / NO

d. Is grading proposed? (Attach grading plan)	YES / NO
Describe:	

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

# VI. Consideration of Factors of Failure

**A.** Describe how the following have been considered for this project (includes foreseeable changes off-site):

1. Elevations/biological benchmarks: 2. Erosion: 3. Human Impacts: \_\_\_\_\_ 4. Nuisance vegetation: 5. Herbivory: \_\_\_\_\_ 6. Beaver Impacts: 7. Soil/Substrate/Geologic Properties: 8. Construction-phase site degradation: \_\_\_\_\_

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

# Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable? YES / NO

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

### VII. Performance Standards<sup>SMART</sup>

(Include any interim/provisional performance standards necessary to track project trajectory)

A. Hydrology: \_\_\_\_\_

B. Geomorphology: \_\_\_\_\_

C. Riparian Vegetation/Plant Community: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

D. Benthic Macro-invertebrates/Biology: \_\_\_\_\_

Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable? YES / NO

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

# VIII. Monitoring

A. Describe Hydrology Monitoring Plan:

**B.** Describe the type of monitoring equipment proposed, number of gauge/well stations proposed and methodology for locating stations, and installing, maintaining and analysis with ERDC Technical Note 05-02 and other scientifically acceptable methodology:

1. For groundwater driven systems, monitoring wells are required to be installed and maintained pursuant to the most recent ERDC Technical Note. Describe type of wells and maintenance plan:

- For surface water driven systems, flood gauges are required to be installed. Describe type of gages and maintenance plan:
- 3. Is the hydrologic regime predicted by the water budget appropriate for the target stream and any adjacent wetlands? YES / NO

**C.** Describe **Geomorphology** Monitoring Plan?

**D.** Number of Cross Sections/Sampling Sites and methodology for locating/sizing survey sites:

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

E. Describe Vegetation Monitoring Plan (including the number of plots and methodology for locating stations): \_\_\_\_\_

**F.** Describe Benthic Macro-invertebrate Monitoring Plan (including the number of plots and methodology for locating stations):\_\_\_\_\_\_

G. Will the As-Built Report to be submitted within 30 days of project construction? YES / NO

If "No" is selected above, please provide an explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

H. Deadline date for first Annual Monitoring Report (to be provided no earlier than
 10 months and no later than 14 months after completion of construction):

Are these Specific/Measurable/Attainable/Reasonable/Trackable? YES / NC
---

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

\_\_\_\_\_

### IX. Site Management

A. Describe proposed Financial Assurances:

B. Describe Adaptive Management strategies:

**C.** Name and telephone number of person responsible for the success of this project:

D. Describe the Final Disposition of the property and legal protection mechanism(s): \_

E. Describe the Long Term Management / Stewardship Plan for the property and how funded:

**F.** Name and phone number of person who will manage the site after the mitigation effort is deemed successful: \_\_\_\_\_

CESAS-RD / 25Sep2014

Other Notes:

\*\*Address the sections of the document in which all problems and/or deficiencies have been identified.\*\*